



Minor revision and clarification guidance for Version 2 of the Peatland Code September 2024

## **Contents**

Introduction:	3
Definitions:	3
Normative References	
Clarifications:	
Main Peatland Code:	4
Field Protocol Clarifications	7
Minor revisions to version 2:	10
Field Protocol and Guidance	10
Updates to Version 2:	13
Updated Process/Procedure	14



**Introduction:** This document provides clarity in areas of the Peatland Code, Guidance document and Field Protocol that have subjectivity or are unclear and aims to offer project developers and validation/verification bodies clear direction on how these areas shall be interpreted. This document shall be read alongside the Peatland Code version 2, Guidance document version 2 and Field Protocol version 2. This document also provides information on minor revisions to version 2 which will eventually be incorporated into version 2.1. The IUCN UK Peatland Programme is committed to continuous improvement of the Peatland Code. Any text that has been struck through has been replaced with updated wording. We have left the original wording crossed out to show the changes made.

<u>Note</u>: Following a revision or update projects have a three-month period from the published date in which document submission for Project Plan Validation can still be done against the old rule.

#### **Definitions:**

The document employs following definitions:

Shall: represents a mandatory requirement

Should: represents recommendations or best practices that project developers should aim to implement on their projects

May: represents a course of action permissible by the Peatland Code

#### **Normative References**

This Clarification document shall be read in conjunction with the following documents:

- Peatland Code v2.0
- Peatland Code Guidance Document v2.0
- Peatland Code Field Protocol v2.0

# **Clarifications:**

### **Main Peatland Code:**

Section	Current text	IUCN UK PP Clarification/Updated text	Date Approved	Approved by	Published date
1.1 Eligible Activities	Requirement: Eligible activities shall be those relating to restoration of:  Either blanket bog or raised bog with an associated baseline condition category of:  • Actively eroding • Drained • Modified bog • Cropland - drained1 • Grassland - intensive¹ • Grassland - extensive¹  or fen with an associated baseline condition category of:  • Cropland - drained • Grassland - intensive • Grassland - extensive • Modified fen  Areas with a minimum peat depth of:  • 45 cm in fens • 30 cm in bogs, with the additional requirement in bogs that areas with peat	There are three broad peatland types in the UK: blanket bog, raised bog and fen see Peatland Code glossary and Field Protocol V2 for definitions.  Requirement: Eligible activities shall be those relating to restoration of: either blanket bog or raised bog with an associated baseline condition category of:  • Actively Eroding • Drained • Modified bog • Cropland-drained¹ • Grassland- intensive¹ • Grassland – extensive¹ or fen with an associated baseline condition category of: • Cropland-drained • Grassland- intensive • Grassland – extensive • Modified fen.	4th September 2024	Technical Advisory board and Executive board sign off	27 <sup>th</sup> September 2024

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note that the fen section in the Field Protocol shall be used, since they will first transition to rewetted fen.

			ı		T
	50 cm shall be part of a	Areas with a minimum peat depth from the			
	restoration project	surface of:			
	contiguous with areas of	<ul> <li>45 cm in fens with baseline</li> </ul>			
	deeper peat. Areas of	condition category grassland and			
	continuously shallow	modified fen			
	peat are excluded. The				
	project shall evidence	<ul> <li>Cropland – drained condition</li> </ul>			
	that the areas between	category and Grassland that used			
	30 and 50 cm are	to be cropland in the past 20 years			
	degrading and are likely	may have a lower minimum peat			
	to have been deep in the	depth than 45 cm if the project can			
	past.	evidence that the average carbon			
		soil content is more than 30 times			
		the Tier 1 Emission Factor for			
		CO2-C emissions.			
		<ul> <li>30 cm in bogs. With the additional</li> </ul>			
		requirement in bogs that areas with			
		peat depth between 30 and 50 cm			
		shall be part of a restoration			
		project dominated by areas of			
		deeper peat. Areas of continuously			
		shallow peat are excluded.			
1.1 Eligible	To evidence that peat of	The requirement to evidence that peat	24 <sup>th</sup>	Technical	25 <sup>th</sup> January
Activities	between 30 and 50 cm in depth	between 30 and 50 cm used to be deep	January	Advisory	2024
	used to be deep peat, soil coring	peat is removed. In return the following	2024	Board and	
	could be used. This will show if it	definition of continuous shallow peat is		Executive	
	is subsided/compacted deep	used:		Board final	
	peat or a peaty podzol. If using			sign off on text	
	soil coring, one core for each	The Peatland Code defines continuous		via email	
	distinct area of shallow peat	shallow peat areas where three or more			
	shall be taken. An alternative	connected peat depth points on a 50 x 50			
	approach, if available, would be	m grid consistently measure between			
	to use historic peat maps.	30cm and 50cm; these areas won't be			

1.1 Eligible Activities	N/A	eligible. However, areas predominantly characterized by peat depths exceeding 50cm, isolated shallow pockets falling within the 30cm to 50cm range are accepted for restoration projects if surrounded by deeper peat.  An updated definition of continuous shallow peat:  The Peatland Code defines continuous shallow peat areas for the drained and modified baseline condition categories as	4 <sup>th</sup> September 2024	Technical Advisory board and Executive board sign off December	25 <sup>th</sup> September 2024
		follows: three or more peat depth points on a 50 x 50 m grid, connected in any direction, consistently measuring between 30cm and 50cm; the whole area is not eligible. Isolated shallow pockets falling in the drained and modified baseline condition categories within the 30cm to 50cm range are accepted for restoration projects if less than three connected peat depth points on a 50 x 50 m grid are surrounded by deeper peat (>50cm). Any peat depth points between 30cm and 50cm in the actively eroding baseline condition category are eligible, no matter the size of area.			
3.3 Net GHG Emissions Reduction	Projects shall be validated/verified against the current version of the Peatland Code and the most recent Emission Factors will be used to determine the emissions reductions at verification.	Project Plan Validation and Restoration Validation happens to the same version of the Peatland Code, even if there has been a version update in between. If projects wish to use the emission factors of a later version for Restoration Validation, with no other change from project plan validation and have <b>not</b> already had PIUs issued	14 <sup>th</sup> September 2023	Internal Peatland Code decision, with input from Project Developers	25 <sup>th</sup> January 2024



then they may do so by submitting a new version of the Emissions Calculator.	
If there was a diversion from the validated project plan, then all documents need to be updated and submitted to the validator, these documents should be the same version as used for Project Plan Validation with the exemption of the emission calculator if no PIUs are issued.	

### **Field Protocol Clarifications**

Section	Current text	IUCN UK PP Clarification	Date	Approved	Published
			Approved	by	date
Blanket and	A project site will always have to be	To ensure the information you	22 <sup>nd</sup>	Internal	23 <sup>rd</sup>
Raised Bogs:	surveyed in the field to ensure the peatland	have submitted to the Peatland	October	Peatland	October
Field Survey	present is of eligible depth and to confirm	Code is valid. Baseline field	2023	Code	2023
	the pre-restoration (baseline) peatland	surveys can be up to 3 years old		decision,	
	condition categories present. The	when submitting all		with input	
	Assessment Unit map, described in the	documentation to the validation		from	
	previous section, provides the structure for	body for Project Plan Validation.		Project	
	the field survey.			Developers	
Site Survey and	N/A	Moved to Site Survey and	4 <sup>th</sup> June	Technical	25 <sup>th</sup>
Restoration		Restoration section of Peatland	2024	Advisory	September
section of		Code Guidance Document:		board and	2024
Peatland Code				Executive	
Guidance		The Project shall evidence the		board sign	
Document		dates of all site surveys.		off	
		However, baseline peat depth		December	
		surveys will be valid for 5 years			
		when submitting all			
		documentation to the validation			

Copyright © The National Trust for Scotland 2023 as nominee for the UK National Committee of the IUCN. PEATLAND CODE and the Peatland Code logo are trademarks held by The National Trust for Scotland as nominee for the UK National Committee of the IUC

Fens Field Survey  3. Water Table Assessments	Use a mix of rust rods, dipwells and continuous loggers. At least one continuous water level logger per unit is required, with a minimum of 5 manual monthly dipwell readings and a minimum of 15 quarterly rust rods readings. However, some or all rust rods can be replaced with dipwells if preferred by the project. All dipwells and rust rods will move up and down together in response to rain/dry weather. Therefore, use the continuous record to gap-fill the manual records and calculate the mean water table depth across the site.	body for Project Plan Validation but please be aware that peat depths are checked at Restoration Validation and if these are different to the submitted depths corrections shall be made. Project developers/landowners are advised to recheck peat depths in actively eroding areas as well as peat depths that are close to the eligibility cut offs.  For clarity you need 5 different dipwells read monthly, and a minimum of 15 rust rods read quarterly. If you chose to use dipwells instead of rust rods the total should be 20 dipwells read monthly.	22 <sup>nd</sup> November 2023	Internally	25 <sup>th</sup> January 2024
Fens Field Survey 3. Water Table Assessments		It has come to our attention that rust rods are generally unreliable in most fen types, providing accurate data only in agricultural fields of drained fen peat. After rewetting, rust rods may no longer give precise readings. For existing project that have already installed	4 <sup>th</sup> June 2024	Technical Advisory board and Executive board	27 <sup>th</sup> September 2024



IUCN   National Committee United Kingdom
Peatland Programme -

rust rods please email the
Peatland Code team
peatlandcode@iucn.org.uk for
guidance on next steps.

For new projects: 20 dipwells shall
be required per field unit which are
read monthly. Updated guidance
on continuous loggers is still being
drafted and will be included in
Version 2.1.

# **Minor revisions to version 2:**

## **Field Protocol and Guidance**

Section	Current text	Revision	Date approved	Approved	Published date
Field Protocol: Map non- peatland Features	Add a 30 m drainage buffer around water courses. Calculate area of nonpeatland and drainage buffer and subtract from Gross Area to calculate Net Project Area in ha.	The 30 m drainage buffer is an exclusion zone from which no rewetted credits can be claimed. However, revegetated credits can be. Water courses here are any water features that won't be blocked and thus have a likely drainage effect on the surrounding peat.	4 <sup>th</sup> December 2023	Technical Advisory board and Executive board sign off December	25 <sup>th</sup> January 2024
Field Protocol: Map non- peatland Features	Add a 30 m drainage buffer around water courses. Calculate area of nonpeatland and drainage buffer and subtract from Gross Area to calculate Net Project Area in ha.	Map features that are clearly non-peatland such as rock, forest, water courses, tracks, etc. Around watercourses, establish a 30-meter drainage exclusion zone from which rewetted carbon units cannot be claimed, but revegetated carbon units can (in this instance peat depths shall be taken from this area).  Water courses are defined as any linear and permanently flowing water features that incise through peat (i.e. bare peat sides) and will not be blocked.  Calculate the non-peatland area and the drainage exclusion zone (unless claiming revegetated carbon units), then subtract	4 <sup>th</sup> September 2024	Technical Advisory board and Executive board sign off December	25 <sup>th</sup> September 2024



		this from the Gross Area to determine the Net Project Area in hectares.			
Field Protocol:	At each survey point determine and record the	Create a circle with a 30-meter radius around each survey point and exclude	4 <sup>th</sup> September	Technical Advisory board	25 <sup>th</sup> September
Pre-verification	condition category present	any area that is not within an eligible	2024	and Executive	2024
field survey	using the post-restoration	Assessment Unit. In the circular area		board sign off	
Peatland	condition category definitions.	around each survey point, identify and		December	
Condition	A minimum of 75% of the	document the existing condition category,			
Assessment	condition categories recorded	or categories, based on post restoration			
	within each Assessment Unit	condition category definitions. Record the			
	must correspond for the	proportion of each category within the			
	Assessment Unit to achieve	circle. The average percentage recorded			
	said condition category.	is used to convert PIUs to PCUs for each			
	Assessment Units can be	Assessment Unit, i.e. if 90% within one			
	redrawn to capture areas of	Assessment Unit has changed to the next			
	higher or lower expected	condition category, then 90% of PIUs are			
	performance.	converted to PCUs within that category.			
Peatland Code	Contact one of the approved	Contact one of the approved third party	4 <sup>th</sup>	Internal	27 <sup>th</sup>
Guidance:	third-party independent	independent validation bodies to arrange	September	Peatland Code	September
Project Plan	validation bodies to arrange	project plan validation: see the Peatland	2024	decision, with	2024
Validation	project plan validation: see the	<u>Code website</u> for contact information.		recommendation	
	Peatland Code website for	Project plan validation should be in place		from UKAS and	
	contact information. Ideally,	before any restoration work starts, which		sign off from EB	
	project plan validation should	might take anywhere between 3-12			
	be in place before any	months. If required restoration may start			
	restoration work starts, which	before project plan validation is			
	might take anywhere between	completed. In this instance the			
	3-12 months. If needed	responsibility for any risk of not achieving			
	however, restoration could	project plan validation is for the project as			
	start prior to completion of	no additional baseline evidence can be			
	project plan validation IF sufficient baseline evidence is	collected. It is important that project plan validation should be achieved as soon as			
	handed in to the validation	possible and before finishing the			
1	I Hanueu III lu liie vallualloii	I DOSSIDIE ALIA DEIOLE IILIISLIILIA ILIE			1
	body. In this instance the risk	restoration work.			

not achieving project plan
alidation is for the project. In
is case project plan
alidation should be achieved
s soon as possible and
efore finishing the
storation.

## **Updates to Version 2:**

The changes below are changes to current version 2 requirements and supersede the requirement set out in version 2. All these changes will be published in a full version 2.1 update.

Section	Old Text	IUCN UK PP Updated text	Date Approved	Approved by	Published date
Peatland Code	N/A New section	The Peatland Code specifies requirements for the validation and verification of a greenhouse gas (GHG) assertion from voluntary UK based projects which actively reduce GHG emissions through peatland restoration, resulting in less cumulative carbon in the atmosphere compared to a business-asusual scenario. Peatland Code projects and their greenhouse gas (GHG) assertions will be validated and verified by an independent third-party Validation and Verification Body (VVB) and ISO 14065:2020 and ISO 14064-3:2019 shall be used as the governing standard for Peatland Code validation and verification delivery.	10 <sup>th</sup> September 2023	Internal Peatland Code decision, with recommendation from UKAS	27 <sup>th</sup> September 2024
		Peatland Code carbon units (PCUs) account for both GHG reductions from, and carbon sequestered by, the peatland. It does not account for carbon already stored within the peatland or the carbon saved when substituting peat products for products with a lower			

Copyright © The National Trust for Scotland 2023 as nominee for the UK National Committee of the IUCN. PEATLAND CODE and the Peatland Code logo are trademarks held by The National Trust for Scotland as nominee for the UK National Committee of the IUC

carbon units can only be used to offset  UK based emissions.
--

# **Updated Process/Procedure**

The following processes and procedures have been updated since the publishing version 2 and the procedures below now supersede the previous versions.

Version 2	New revised Procedure	Website location	Date	Approved by	Published
			approved		date
Disputes	QMS_ 034 Peatland Code	Grievances   IUCN UK Peatland	24 <sup>th</sup>	IUCN UK PP	24 <sup>th</sup>
Process -	Grievance and Appeals	Programme (iucn-uk-	September	Director (Input	September
	Procedure	peatlandprogramme.org)	2024	from external	2024



Peatland Programme-

Guidance Document				legal firm and Steering Group)	
Peatland Code Review – Guidance Document	QMS_016 Peatland Code Review Procedure	Peatland Code Development   IUCN UK Peatland Programme (iucn-uk- peatlandprogramme.org)	4 <sup>th</sup> September 2024	Technical Advisory board and Executive board sign off September	10 <sup>th</sup> September 2024